

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,255	12/27/2004	Takehiko Kikuchi	043075	2976
38834 WESTERMAN	7590 . 05/08/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	CTICUT AVÉNUE, NV	YEE, DEBORAH		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	
	•			
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/519,255		KIKUCHI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Deborah Yee		1742			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later th earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILING D/ der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. , the maximum statutory period v ad period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will e	S COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	I. hely filed the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status							
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is closed in accordance w 	2b)⊠ This in condition for allowar	action is non	r formal matters, pro		nerits is		
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are a 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are o 8) Claim(s) is/are o 8) Claim(s) are sub Application Papers 9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on 2 Applicant may not request Replacement drawing she	is/are withdraw is/are withdraw is/are withdraw is/are withdraw is/are withdraw is/are withdraw is/are is/are withdraw is/are withdraw is/are withdraw is/are is/are withdraw objection to the cet(s) including the correction	r election requents. r. re: a)⊠ accentration is required.	uirement. epted or b)⊡ objecte neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration i	o objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note	the attached Office i	Action of form PTO	-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-27-04.	wing Review (PTO-948)	5)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,255

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed December 27, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 to 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemoto et al (US Patent 5,198,041).
- 4. Takemoto in Table 1 of columns 9-10 discloses specific shape memory alloy A16 that meets the composition recited by claims 1 to 7, and on lines 19-21 of column 7 is processed in substantially the same manner as claimed by applicants comprising the steps of casting, forging, hot rolling to a thickness of 3 mm, annealing, cold rolling to a thickness of 2 mm and annealing. Note that the additional prior art steps of casting, forging and hot rolling are not excluded by the recited transitional phrase "comprising", which is inclusive of unrecited steps. Moreover, prior art teaches cold rolling

Art Unit: 1742

(conventionally performed at room temperature) with a reduction ratio of 7% (within the claimed reduction range of 5 to 40%).

- 5. Even though prior art method teaches annealing, such would appear to be equivalent to the recited aging step since temperature and time range would appear to be similar. Note Takemoto, in general, heat treats at 400C for 15 minutes and would be within the range of 400 to 1000C from 1 minute to 2 hours recited by claim 7. Moreover, similar to present invention, Takemoto on lines 57 to 67 of column 5 further teaches mechanically working at room temperature followed by annealing at 450 700C to achieve better shape memory and recovery effect.
- 6. Although Nb carbide or an atomic ratio of Nb/C= 1 or more or 1-1.2 as recited by one or more of the claims is not disclosed by prior art, such would be expected since composition and process of making are closely met, and in absence of proof to the contrary.
- 7. The unapplied references have been cited to further depict the state of the art in shape memory alloys.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00am-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deboraty Yee

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742